Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/022,479	ROHDE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Janis L. Dote	1756
All Participants:	Status of Application	: Advisory action mailed
(1) <u>Janis L. Dote</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Lawrence Kessler (reg. No. 24,637).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 August 2004	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will provide a water record of the substance of 	ritten summary of the substance
		,
		•
Janus L Dute		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/022,479

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner asked Mr. Kessler if the amendment filed on May 7, 2004 (cert. mail. May 5, 2004), labeled "Proposed Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116(B)," was indeed the submission listed on the RCE filed on Aug. 4, 2004. Mr. Kessler said "yes." The examiner informed Mr. Kessler that the amendment filed on May 7, 2004, will be entered as the RCE subjmission required under 37 CFR 1.114.